## FORM III-B

Intern Nan	ne:Agency:
each line i which descappropriate LEJA, 1 V swanson@	inplete the following intern evaluation (MS Word version with embedded text boxes for s available at <a href="http://www.wiu.edu/coehs/leja/fire/index.php">http://www.wiu.edu/coehs/leja/fire/index.php</a> ). For each trait listed decide cription most nearly applied to the intern being rated, and place a check mark in the e space provided. Please mail the completed form to Internship Coordinator, WIU - University Circle, Macomb, IL 61455, or fax it to 309/298-2516, or e-mail it to jewiu.edu, (your professional e-mail address will represent your signature). You may complete Form III-A, B, or C, only one form is requested.
A. DE	EPENDABILITY: (Manner he/she applied self; amount of supervision required)
1.	Deserved utmost confidence; showed sound judgment based on thorough analysis, seldom necessary to check.
2.	Could be relied upon to use good judgement and common sense in facing new situations.
3.	Could be counted on in routine situations; required only occasional spot-checking.
4.	Needed frequent checking inclined to be illogical.
5.	Could not be relied upon at all; needed to be watched constantly.
6.	Above evaluations do not seem to directly apply; performance was somewhere between trait numbers and
B. IN	ITIATIVE (Originality in thinking; new ways of completing tasks)
1.	Relied heavily on others; needed to be continually pushed to complete satisfactory job.
2.	Needed to have detailed instructions; was slow in getting started.
3.	Performed regular work without waiting for instructions; had average initiative.
4.	Was generally alert; analyzed and completed assignments with minimal help.
5.	Was a self-starter; very original; made frequent practical suggestions, was anxious to tackle difficult assignments.
6.	Above evaluations do not seem to directly apply; performance was somewhere between trait numbers and

C.	RELA	TIONS WITH OTHERS (Effort of attitude, actions and disposition upon others)
	1.	Was respected by others; created a favorable impression, had a good attitude, was cooperative and friendly.
	2.	Indifferent; not always cooperative, showed little enthusiasm.
	3.	Disagreeable; resentful and critical of associates, complained often.
	4.	Above evaluations do not seem to directly apply; performance was somewhere between trait numbers and

D. ABILITY TO LEARN: (Mental ability to master routines, grasped explanations, speed in

**Answer yes (	(Y) or no $(N)$ to the following questions, if	f applicable**			
L. TEMPI	ERAMENT:				
1.	Did s/he habitually exercise self-cont	trol?			
2.	Did s/he become excited under stress	?			
3.	Did s/he take criticism well?				
4.	Did s/he rapidly adjust well to people	e and situations?			
5.	Was s/he usually firm yet fair and im	partial?			
M. LOYA	LTY				
1.	Did s/he adhere to department rules a	and regulations, and aims and ideals?			
2.	Did s/he show pride in his/her work a	and the internship?			
3.	3Did s/he support his/her superiors?				
4.	Did s/he carry out instructions?				
5.	Did s/he show interest in your agency	y?			
COMMENTS	BY AGENCY COORDINATOR (and/or	other agency representatives):			
*					
Submitted by					
	(Typed or Printed)	(Title)			
	(Signature)	(Date)			